

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,334	11/12/2003	David G. Kuehr-McLaren	RSW920010113US1	6032
46270 IBM CORPOR	7590 02/11/200 RATION (SYL-RSW)	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	TVEDT & LECHNER	AUGUSTIN, EVENS J		
PHILADELPI	T STREET, SUITE 260 IIA. PA 19107	ю	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/706,334		KUEHR-MCLAREN ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	EVENS J. AUGUSTIN	3621						

	EVENS J. AUGUSTIN	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compi filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37 (	avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bett application in bett application.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>			
non-allowable claim(s).  ∩ I prupposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∏ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. Sign The request for reconsideration has been considered but With regard to the argument of the prior art not disclosin contends that "intermediary" has not found to be in any or privacy-use information with any participants interested in content, and a third party obtains a privacy policy from the procedure for providing resources from content providers transacting over the internet is broadly interpreted market.	g that the privacy policy is now tran of the claims. The claim language in a doing business. Accordingly the se seller (¶ 17, 46). The invention of to users over Internet (the aspect etplace) (¶ 25).	smitted to any interme states "sharing the die prior art teaches sel deals with usage in a	ediary, the PTO gitally-signed ler website for P3P agreement
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	FTO(36/06) Paper No(s).		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/ANDREW J. FISCHER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080204